

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,866	07/21/2006	Keitaro Yonezawa	YONE3025/JEK	8126
23364 BACON & TE	7590 IOMAS, PLLC	)	EXAMINER HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M	
625 SLATERS	SLANE			
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THE STATE OF THE S	11, 111 22011 1110		3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/586,866	YONEZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen M. Hepperle	3753	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) X The period for reply expires <u>3 m</u> onths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee is appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):    Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CF1.33(a)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. \(\sumeq\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other: Proposed IDS of 20 March 2009 is not accepted for the following reasons. I. There is no form 1449 or equivalent listing the					
references, 2. There is no fee as required by 37 CFR 1.97. However, it is noted that the US equivalents to all these references have					
already been made of record.					

/Stephen M. Hepperle/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Hewit shows a thintitle valve seat 48 at the leading edge portion of adjusting rod 45, which is on the outlet side of the valve head. Movement of the rod provides relative movement between the seat and valve, the valve is biased by spring 17. Hewit shows all the structure recited. The fact that Hewit may permit flow in only one direction ("monoflow?") is irrelevant, as the claim does not require bidirectional flow. Reagriding claims 6-7, the detending edge of the cylindrical casings approach but do not appear to contact the bottom wall of the mounting hole. The claims do not require contact, only approach and partitioning. As the casings approach the bottom wall seal 19 in the '869 reference and an unarrored oring seal (Fig 2 or 3) of the '634 reference provide the recited partitioning. Arguments regarding claim 5 appear to be drawn toward supposed deficiencies in Hewitt discussed above.